

C15-7

Richard T. Landale

BCUC 2nd. Procedural Conference Nov 28th. 2016

RTL Questions

Project No. 3698869 British Columbia Utilities Commission
BC Hydro Fiscal 2017 to Fiscal 2019 Revenue Requirements Application

C15-7

Good morning Mr. Chairman and Commission Panel, my name is Richard Landale LANDALE, I am a retired senior representing myself only in these proceedings. With thank you's to Allwest, I have copies of my presentation today, which has been marked C-15-

Further to the first Procedural Conference on Sept 1st, where I addressed several questions to the Panel, I meet with Mr. Loski and Mr. James at BC Hydro's offices. They answered all my questions and more. My thanks to the Chair and BC Hydro.

(i) Direction 7 - Section 15 Burrard Thermal Plant:

My concerns relate to the RRA and specifically my first round of IR's, which lists the Burrard Thermal Plant assets and depreciation rates. BC Hydro did provide the requested information with minor adjustments, this is appreciated. The purpose of this presentation in this Procedural Conference is to request the Panel include within the remaining Regulatory Timetable provisions for intervener discussion, IR's, and if appropriate, Intervener Evidence and Final Argument.

In addressing Section 15 – "On application by the authority the commission must:

(b) set depreciation rates for the classes of property, plant and equipment at Burrard Thermal as shown in Appendix B to this direction".

(ii) 2nd Procedural Conference process:

Very briefly, I support the Regulatory Timetable Appendix B as provided for in the Commissions General Order G-144-16

Specifically: a second round of IR's, intervener evidence and final argument is my submission. In the event the Commission Panel proceeds with an Oral Hearing, I will welcome such opportunity, while contributing to that oral hearing process. As I am new to BC Hydro RRA's, my participation is learning. In any oral examination, I believe the Commission will greatly benefit in the gathering of new information through an oral examination of all issues arising from the RRA, as well as underscoring the importance and relevance of existing evidence. If the bases of the examination is born from the RRA.

If you have any questions of me,

otherwise, thank you.