



Suite 410, 900 Howe Street
Vancouver, BC Canada V6Z 2N3
bcuc.com

P: 604.660.4700
TF: 1.800.663.1385
F: 604.660.1102

Letter of Comment

In accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, to submit a letter of comment concerning an application currently before the Commission, please provide a completed form to commission.secretary@bcuc.com. If email is unavailable, please mail the form to the address above. By doing so, you acknowledge that all letters of comment are published with the author's name as part of the public evidentiary record, both in print copy and on the Commission's website. All personal contact information provided on this page is removed before posting to the website. Forms must be received by the Commission by the last filing date included in the proceeding's regulatory timetable before final arguments.

Proceeding name

Are you currently registered as an intervener or interested party?

Name (first and last)

City Province

Email Phone number

Letter of Comment

Name (first and last)

Tsang Keung Jeff Wong

Date:

May 30, 2017

Comment: Please specify the reasons for your interest in the proceeding, your views concerning the proceeding, any relevant information that supports or explains your views, the conclusion you support and any recommendations. The Commission may disallow comments that do not comply with the Rules of Practice and Procedure.

As a registered Interested Party to the Shannon TES Rates Application BCUC, I am writing in opposition to the applicant's submission.

The Sterling Cooper application is grossly unfair and expensive to be acceptable. I have reviewed the documents for the Shannon Procedure on the BCUC website and I was disappointed to learn that this is the first application by Sterling Cooper for a Thermal Energy System. This lack of experience is the reason I am asking the BCUC panel to seriously consider the alternative submission that was prepared by an expert who understands utility rates structure as is normally applied for these services.

I support the SRG (Shannon Ratepayers Group) is not opposed to the TES utility however SRG is strongly opposed to excessively high utility rates that are punitive in nature. The utility rates and should not include duplication of regulatory costs (RDA) that are proved to be included in the capital expenditure budget, or capital cost recovery of the TES physical plant that was not disclosed in my unit's purchase agreement.