

# Letter of Comment

Name (first and last)

Bryn Peck

Date:

August 5, 2017

Comment: Please specify the reasons for your interest in the proceeding, your views concerning the proceeding, any relevant information that supports or explains your views, the conclusion you support and any recommendations. The Commission may disallow comments that do not comply with the Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Nowhere that I can find does anything say specifically that there will be rate increases, but why else would a letter be sent now, 4 years later. This letter is written for lawyers. It irks me that I had to spend my own time to look this up so I could better understand what is going on, and even more time to write a stupid letter. I also haven't been able to find anywhere what the actual issue is and what the disagreements are.

As you state, section 32 of the UCA has to do with Fortis being able to do their work in PUBLIC places, which has nothing to do with the residents' personal Fortis bills and the rates at which we pay to run our homes. This is 100% an issue that should be taken care of by the city through the city's budget. This feels as though the residents of Surrey are being asked, without being asked, to bail the city out of something it can not afford..

The SCDC helps in the "revitalization of Surrey" and is supposed to provide an annual dividend, or so it states on their website, and Surrey's mayor, Linda Hepner, states that "From our new City Hall, Central Library and Plaza to the development of the tallest building South of the Fraser, 3 Civic Plaza, Surrey is thriving as an economic generator for the region." (taken right from the SCDC website) which can only lead me to believe that Surrey should have more than enough to cover whatever it is that Fortis needs from them, without asking it's residents for handouts.