

From: [Jerrilynn DeCock](#)
To: [Commission Secretary BCUC:EX](#)
Subject: RIB Rate Report - Comments Resulting from BCOAPO Report
Date: Thursday, November 24, 2016 8:05:13 PM

I was away today and just saw that the BCOAPO report has been posted on the last day, hence I'm sending this hastily prepared email to make the deadline for submissions. Please accept this email as a stakeholder submission to be posted on your website.

I only had time to quickly scan their report looking for the general gist of it. I see at the end they said, "BCOAPO would welcome the opportunity to review and comment on a draft version of the Commission's report to the Minister, if the Commission would find such input useful and appropriate."

I would also welcome the opportunity to review and comment on a draft version of the Commission's report to the Minister and I think you would find my input useful and appropriate from a senior electrical engineer with extensive electric power utility experience.

I would also like to point out that I have not been participating in this process as a self-interest group/party as the largest bill consumption that I've had since I moved here last year has been 2353 kWh (actual reading) for a 62 day period and the next largest was 1612 kWh (customer corrected); so I have so far been a beneficiary of the cross subsidization (last bill that I just paid yesterday for some reason was estimated way high at 1854 kWh which was 30 kWh/day compared to 25 kWh/day last year, but I was too busy to question it in a timely manner). The reason that I have made the effort in this process is because I would like to be able to turn on my electric heat and burn less wood; and because it is the right thing to do. I have not made emphasis on low income customers in my reports because subsidizing them from a minority of other customers who are disadvantaged is not the right thing to do and that is why I had emphasized that it does not matter if less than 5% of customers are being ripped off, it is still just the wrong and immoral thing to do. The low income customers can be subsidized through the government or through special rate reductions that are shared by all of the other customers, not just a disadvantaged small percentage. This could be easily done by them providing income tax returns from CRA. I also live on CPP and my own RRSP resources as I am also a retired senior without a company or government work pension.

The break-even point for the RCR is:

$$1.05 \times [31.23 + (1600T1 + DT2)] = 1.05 \times [36.39 + (1600T3 + DT30)]$$

$$1.05 \times [31.23 + 1600 \times .09845 + 0.15198D] = 1.05 [36.39 + 1600 \times 0.11433 + 0.11433D]$$

$$198.1875 + 0.159579D = 230.2839 + 0.1200465D$$

$$0.0395325D = 32.0964$$

$$D = 811.899 \text{ rounded to } 812$$

$$1600 + 812 = 2412 \text{ kWh } (\$327.77)$$

Therefore, even my largest consumption of 2353 kWh is less than the break-even level of 2412 kWh. But to do this I have had to live a miserable life (see letter of comment E256) and burn a lot more wood than if I used electric heat for the ends of my house; that is larger than the sq ft rating of my wood stove.

In closing, I am also wondering in this process why the BCOAPA 26 page submission was submitted and posted on November 24, 2016; and my 10 page addendum to my November 1, 2016 report has still not been posted and I sent it on November 22, 2016.

Jerrilynn DeCock
Senior Electrical Engineer