June 17, 2011

Via Email
Original via mail

Ms. Alanna Gillis
Acting Commission Secretary
BC Utilities Commission
Sixth Floor, 900 Howe Street, Box 250
Vancouver, BC V6Z 2N3

Dear Ms. Gillis:

Re: FortisBC Inc. Residential Inclining Block Rate Application – Erratum 2

FortisBC Inc. (“FortisBC” or the “Company”) provides the following erratum to its Residential Inclining Block (“RIB”) Rate Application Responses to Information Requests No. 1 from the British Columbia Utilities Commission (“BCUC” or the “Commission”). A replacement page is attached.

1 FortisBC Responses to Information Requests No. 1 from BCUC, Page 77, Table BCUC IR1 Q23.1a
The numbers of customers with six bills in Block 2 are incorrect.
For 2011, “25,848” should read “32,718”;
For 2012, “26,300” should read “33,291”;
For 2013, “26,748” should read “33,858”;
For 2014, “27,189” should read “34,417”; and
For 2015, “27,640” should read “34,987”.

Sincerely,

Dennis Swanson
Director, Regulatory Affairs
23.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, Section 8, p. 26

Options A and C

FortisBC considers Option A and C in Table 8-3 as the best options and selected Option C (Option 8) as its preferred option.

23.1 For the period 2012 to 2015, please provide the following for each of the two options:

(a) the number of customers who will be taking service under the proposed mandatory RIB rate;
(b) the number of customers who will (i) never in the bi-monthly billing periods go over the threshold; (ii) be billed under the Block 2 rate at least once, twice, three times, four times and five times; (iii) always in the bi-monthly billing periods be billed under the Block 2 rate.
(c) the bill impact for each group of customers described in question (b) above
(d) the estimated savings

Response:

Savings resulting from elasticity impacts as provided in Table 7-2 of the Application apply to the total level of consumption for the class and cannot be segregated by customer usage categories. Further, those savings are expected to occur upon the implementation of RIB rates and may take several years to achieve. FortisBC does not have any estimates of how they will differ in different years.

Please refer to the below tables for the responses to BCUC IR1 Q23.1a, b and c.

Table BCUC IR1 Q23.1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bills in Block 2</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>22,576</td>
<td>22,970</td>
<td>23,362</td>
<td>23,748</td>
<td>24,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,416</td>
<td>7,546</td>
<td>7,675</td>
<td>7,801</td>
<td>7,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,597</td>
<td>9,765</td>
<td>9,932</td>
<td>10,096</td>
<td>10,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9,706</td>
<td>9,876</td>
<td>10,045</td>
<td>10,210</td>
<td>10,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8,180</td>
<td>8,323</td>
<td>8,465</td>
<td>8,604</td>
<td>8,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8,616</td>
<td>8,767</td>
<td>8,916</td>
<td>9,063</td>
<td>9,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>32,718</td>
<td>33,291</td>
<td>33,858</td>
<td>34,417</td>
<td>34,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98,810</td>
<td>100,538</td>
<td>102,253</td>
<td>103,939</td>
<td>105,661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>