
From: Keith Miles [kminbc@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 6:36 AM
To: Commission Secretary BCUC:EX
Cc: wjandrews@shaw.ca; david@legalmind.ca; alex.atamanenko.c1@parl.gc.ca; tbraithwaite@bcpiac.com; support@bcpiac.com; jerryjgf@shaw.ca; bchydroregulatorygroup@bchydro.com; ngabana@gmail.com; thackney@shaw.ca; bharper@econanalysis.ca; shonnahayes@shaw.ca; rhobbs@shaw.ca; ekung@bcpiac.com; guylerox2@gmail.com; lerouxconsulting@shaw.ca; bmerwin@mercerint.com; kemiles@telus.net; electricity.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com; Dennis.Swanson@fortisbc.com; cweafer@owenbird.com; fredweislaw@gmail.com
Subject: RE: BCUC, FBC AMI, BCSEA-SCBC comment on regulatory process hearing input methods

As I am traveling and in North Carolina now, I have only just been able to connect to provide this comment on the hearing methods.

I agree as noted below that there should be open communication and would suggest relaxed limitations rather than restrictions on the methods for input. However, it may be most advantageous to permit both written and oral input, as noted in the options provided by the BCUC, for those who may not be able to be on-site and present orally. I do not see it as a significant issue for the Commission to manage any written submissions or seek any necessary clarifications in concert with the oral presentations.

Keith Miles
Trail, BC

On Sep 22, 2012, **Jerry Flynn** <jerryjgf@shaw.ca> wrote:
I concur with your sentiments, Andy.
Jerry Flynn

From: ashadra@telus.net [mailto:ashadra@telus.net]
Sent: September-21-12 7:55 PM
To: wjandrews@shaw.ca; david@legalmind.ca; alex.atamanenko.c1@parl.gc.ca; tbraithwaite@bcpiac.com; support@bcpiac.com; jerryjgf@shaw.ca; bchydroregulatorygroup@bchydro.com; ngabana@gmail.com; thackney@shaw.ca; bharper@econanalysis.ca; shonnahayes@shaw.ca; rhobbs@shaw.ca; ekung@bcpiac.com; guylerox2@gmail.com; lerouxconsulting@shaw.ca; bmerwin@mercerint.com; kemiles@telus.net; electricity.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com; Dennis.Swanson@fortisBC.com; cweafer@owenbird.com; fredweislaw@gmail.com; Commission.Secretary@bcuc.com
Subject: Re: BCUC, FBC AMI, BCSEA-SCBC comment on regulatory process

Victoria

Friday September 21

Ms Hamilton

With the greatest of respect I must take exception to the proposal put forward by Mr Andrews, on behalf of BCSEA-SCBC to limit the ability of intervenors to oral hearings on only health, security and safety issues.

1, This is the only hearing in BC to look at installation of smart metres and some of those intervening are completely new to the BCUC hearing process, and it will be likely easier to guide them in an oral hearing than if they are restricted to written submissions only.

2. I myself wish to raise technical concerns vis a vis wireless versus wired metres, and given the, at times, complex nature of some of questions that have been raised with me I think it would be better to discuss those orally rather than in written form, with my expert witness present to guide me.

3. I find it extremely ironic that BC Hydro, who have not been subject to any publicly accountability process during installation of their smart metres, now want to intervene in this hearing to cross examine evidence presented. As usual I always agree to err on the side of democracy and concur with their request.

I therefore humbly request the Commission panel to err on the side of giving intervenors the maximum ability to intervene through an oral hearing process.

Respectfully submitted
Andy Shadrack
Director Area D