



VIA eFILING

June 30, 2016

BC HYDRO
2015 RATE DESIGN

EXHIBIT A-33

To: British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority
Registered Interveners

Re: British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority
Project No. 3698781/G-156-15
2015 Rate Design Application Module 1

The British Columbia Utilities Commission (Commission) is seeking submissions on the review process for the Commercial Energy Consumers Association of British Columbia's (CEC) proposal to establish a non-firm interruptible rate pilot for medium and large general service customers (Exhibit C1-10).

Embedded within CEC's responses to Commission Information Requests (IR) on intervener evidence (Exhibit C1-15, BCUC 1.2) CEC submits that the review process be either an independent streamlined review process or included in the Oral Hearing. The Commission reminds CEC that a submission for a change to the procedural timetable should be made to the Commission Secretary.

In its submission, CEC provides the following timelines:

Activity	Outcome	Date
Responses to Information Requests	Responses to Information Requests	June 22, 2016
BC Hydro Rebuttal Evidence	Rebuttal Evidence	July 6, 2016
Commission review of Interruptible Rate Evidence	Procedural conference to determine SRP or inclusion in Oral Hearing	July 15, 2016
Stakeholder Consultation on Pilot	Establishment of rates and terms and conditions	July 15 - August 16, 2016
Oral Hearing or Streamlined Review Process	Commission Approval on Pilot opportunity	August 16-24, 2016
Initiation and Running of Pilot	Pilot data made available over BC Hydro peak season	September 2016 – February 2017
Commission review of remaining Module 1 components	Commission approval of remaining Module 1 components	Approximately October 2016
BC Hydro Review of Decision and Planning for Module 2	BC Hydro analysis	October 2016 – January 2017
BC Hydro files Module 2	BC Hydro Module 2 written	January 2017

Parties are requested to provide submissions on the following:

- 1) Is there a need for a procedural conference to discuss process and procedures for the review of CEC's evidence? If so, do parties agree with CEC's proposed date or do parties have alternative proposed dates?
- 2) For parties who consider that a procedural conference is not required, please comment if: (a) written submissions on the review process is preferred, and if so, the timing of filing written submissions or in the alternative (b) whether the submissions on the review process should be brought forward on the first day of the oral hearing scheduled for August 16, 2016.

Written submissions from all parties should be made in writing to the Commission on or before Thursday, July 7, 2016.

Yours truly,

*Original signed by
L. Sharpe on behalf of:*

Laurel Ross

EC/cms
Enclosure