

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Site C Submissions BCUC:EX](#)  
**Subject:** analysis of Site C project  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 30, 2017 1:46:34 PM

---

- a) the project is already behind schedule and over budget
- b) the cost of suspending the project in order to assess it properly is negligible compared to the cost of continuing with an ill-advised project that expropriates people's homes, floods valuable farmland and desecrates indigenous cultural sites
- c) the analysis already exists that shows there is no current or foreseeable demand for the potential power generated by this project. Therefore, the cost to ratepayers of continuing the project has to be considerably higher than what it has already cost to do the preliminary work. The cost of land expropriation can be recovered by allowing the previous owners first right of refusal, then selling the lands. The decision to terminate the project would save enough farmland to feed a million people annually, and as food security in BC becomes more threatened, the cost of admitting this was a mistake is much lower than the cost of running short of fresh food in the future.
- d) I don't think we have sufficiently explored the potential of geothermal power (or rather, that exploration research has been shelved for the past 40 years) and other forms of renewable energy including wind, solar and tidal power.
- e) I don't know

Sharon Priest-Nagata  
[REDACTED]