


From: [Site C Comments BCUC:EX](#)
To: [Site C Submissions BCUC:EX](#)
Subject: FW: SiteC Inquiry - Make a Submission
Date: Friday, September 22, 2017 3:19:14 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Site C Inquiry - Make a Submission [<mailto:no-reply@sitecinquiry.com>]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 3:06 PM
To: Site C Comments BCUC:EX
Subject: SiteC Inquiry - Make a Submission

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Fodor


Organization: Dave Fodor & Associates
Comment: Dear Sir or Madam:

Re: BCUC review of Site C

In regards to BC's geothermal potential, I would like to refer you to Figure E1-3 in that KWL report of 2015 to Geoscience BC. Figure E1-3 shows that the parameters for BC Hydro's SOP would not consider geothermal resources that are greater than 15MW gross. In the case of Meager Cr. and Pebble Cr. sites which are greater than 15MW gross, and according to Table 4-2 in that same report, are a couple of the most favourable sites in the province. So I question the parameters BC Hydro has set for the SOP that excludes 6 of the 7 best potential sites according to Table 4-2.

And in regards to past exploratory work done on the South Meager site, during one flow test, one of the full diameter wells -drilled by B.C. Hydro -was used to supply a 40 kW pilot geothermal power facility. (Ghomshei et al., 2004Ghomshei et al., , 2005).
<https://goo.gl/rx2db1>

Sincerely,
Dave Fodor

This e-mail was sent from a comment form from the Site C Inquiry (<http://www.sitecinquiry.com>).