First Name: Brad  
Last Name: Jones  
Organization: 
Comment: Thanks to BCUC for undertaking this review of Site C.

Thanks to BCUC for asking BC Hydro to provide significantly more information.

Can you please do your best to ensure that BC Hydro provides the required information in a timely fashion?

Ask BCUC to devote their energy to findings on alternative portfolios.

Even if Site C is on time and on budget, and even if BC Hydro forecasts were true, alternatives will save ratepayers money.

Let me know how I can support your (BCUC's) efforts.

Can you tell me what my hydro rates will be if we: a) proceed with Site C; b) bring Hydro’s debt down to a reasonable amount; and c) bring the regulatory accounts from $6 billion to zero?

Can you tell me why Site C is even being considered given that demand has been flat for the last 10 years and is expected to continue to be so?

Can you tell me how many jobs Site C is really providing? How many are for British Columbians, Canadians from other provinces and how many are foreign workers?

Given that we have several dams in this province as well as the potential for the Canadian Entitlement to the Columbia River Treaty, Burrard Thermal and the Northwest Electrical Grid, why does BC Hydro keep telling us that we need Site C for back up power? It is essentially a large run-of-river project, isn't it?

If we are currently exporting 90% of the amount of power that Site C is expected to produce, then why wouldn't we use more of this power ourselves and not build Site C power which is about three times as expensive?
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