I find the preliminary report too technical and BC hydro biased. According to Dr. Harry Swain, former Chair of the Site C environmental review process. He said even Wal-Mart and Costco in U.S. are already using photovoltaics (solar) to run their heating forcing electric companies to cover lost revenue from regular consumers by hiking rates! He also said BC Hydro was mandated to build dams only so only understand Hydro power. Therefore in my opinion you should not use BC Hydro as the sole authority on alternative energy sources. What about the inputs of Mike Harcourt, former premier and Marc Eliesen former BC Hydro CEO? Their views should be made known to the public. Marc Eliesen's submission that ratepayers do not need and cannot afford the electricity capacity associated with Site C even if the project is completed on time and on budget. All across Canada, there have been a number of these mega dam projects cost overruns already so it will highly unlikely BC will be anything different. I strongly believe solar can significantly reduce our reliance on hydro power. How about follow the example of Germany which uses 6.9% solar and doesn't have as much sunshine as BC? In summary I am very disappointed with your preliminary report not disclosing the arguments from the opposition side of the Site C dam for the public to understand what is really at stake here.