Hello Mr. Morton and Panel Commissioners,

Subject: Final Comment For Site C Dam Inquiry

In light of the BCUC’s strict interpretation of the “Terms of Reference” issued to the Inquiry, we see that we cannot make any further recommendations or comments regarding our suggestion for a “substitution” employing a nuclear reactor power plant instead of the Site C [dam] project. As a consequence, we must warn the BCUC Inquiry that if A.P.S.E. Inc. were to succeed with its revised “Plan B” Project, the province of British Columbia would be impacted.

With regards to the Preliminary Report itself, we will add the following closing comments:

1.) Neither the Order nor the Preliminary Report appears to give a reason as to “Why” BC Hydro should suspend the Site C dam project. We believe this matter should be clarified.
2.) As the analysis by BC Hydro indicates, the option of Suspension is more expensive than either Continuation or Termination. Hence, by regarding the Suspension solely by itself against the other two options, it would appear this option can be rejected outright.
3.) With regards to choosing between Continuation or Termination, it is the opinion of A.P.S.E. Inc. that the BCUC should recommend to the BC provincial government that the province “Terminate” the Site C dam project as this recommendation would help “kill off” A.P.S.E. Inc.’s LNG competition to its “Plan B” project by:

A.) Compelling them to use either “non – e – drive” energy source (i.e., natural gas) or more expensive Alternative energy sources (i.e., Junk Power).
B.) Should it be the former case, it would result in the imposition of new “Carbon Taxes” which would factor “negatively” in their Final Investment Decision (FID).
Finally, thank you for your time and attention.

Respectfully submitted and signed,
Yours truly,
Keith William Steeves
Pres. & CEO
A.P.S.E. Inc.

E&OE